

Compositional Characteristics and Antioxidant Activity of Edible Rose Flowers and Their Effect on Phenolic Urinary Excretion

Andrea Devecchi¹ , Sonia Demasi^{2*} , Francesca Saba¹, Rosalba Rosato³ , Roberto Gambino¹,
Valentina Ponzio¹, Antonella De Francesco⁴, Paola Massarenti⁴, Simona Bo¹ , Valentina Scariot² 

¹Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Corso Dogliotti 14, 10126, Turin, Italy

²Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Turin,
Largo P. Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

³Department of Psychology, University of Turin, Via Verdi 10, 10124, Turin, Italy

⁴Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Turin, Italy

Key words: anthocyanins, bioactive compounds, edible flowers, human study, polyphenols

Petals of edible flowers (EF) are rich in biologically active compounds with many proven benefits for human health. However, studies on the effects of EF in humans after consumption are lacking. This pilot explorative study evaluated the changes in urinary phenolic excretion in healthy volunteers to whom different doses of phenolics from edible roses (Gourmet Roses™) have been added to a meal. Rose petals were picked fresh once a week for three weeks, showing significantly increasing values of total phenolic content, total anthocyanin content, and antioxidant activity (measured as ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and as DPPH[•] and ABTS^{•+} scavenging activities) from the first to the third week. After the meal, direct associations between urinary phenolics and both the EF phenolic content and the antioxidant activity were found in a multiple regression model. These new insights on EF consumption, to be confirmed by larger trials, suggest that the urinary phenolic excretion of healthy volunteers increases with increasing rose phenolic content.

INTRODUCTION

Edible flowers (EF) have been used in human nutrition for hundreds of years and are popular in the European, Middle-East, Chinese, and Indian cultures [Lim, 2014a,b; Pires *et al.*, 2019; Scariot *et al.*, 2018], thanks to their taste, beauty, and aromas [Takahashi *et al.*, 2020]. EF are consumed either fresh or minimally processed or in the form of different preparations [Fernandes *et al.*, 2020; Takahashi *et al.*, 2020]. Since the late 1980's, studies revealing the EF chemical composition and properties linked to the presence of several bioactive compounds arose [Demasi *et al.*, 2020; Falla *et al.*, 2020; Fernandes *et al.*, 2020; Grzeszczuk *et al.*, 2016; Rop *et al.*, 2012; Scariot *et al.*, 2018], together with an increased awareness of consumers towards the consumption of natural sources of bioactive compounds [Fernandes *et al.*, 2020; Rop *et al.*, 2012; Takahashi *et al.*, 2020]. Petals of fresh EF are rich in vitamins, minerals, and phenolics, a class of biologically active compounds with many proven benefits [Liu, 2003; Loizzo *et al.*, 2016; Navarro-González *et al.*, 2015; Takahashi *et al.*, 2020]. Adequate intake of phenolics could confer benefits for

human health, by reducing the risk of cardiovascular, dysmetabolic, and neurodegenerative diseases, and cancer (in particular gastrointestinal neoplasms), by eliciting anti-inflammatory effects, and by favorably modulating the gut microbiota composition [Fraga *et al.*, 2019; Zamora-Ros *et al.*, 2013]. Furthermore, phenolics have been reported to be inversely associated with all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events [Del Bo *et al.*, 2019]. EF have a low-fat content and are rich in water similarly to leafy vegetables [González-Barrio *et al.*, 2018; Rop *et al.*, 2012]. It has also been demonstrated that many EF contain high amounts of phenolics, exceeding those found in fresh fruits and vegetables. For instance, *Rosa pendulina* petals have a total phenolic content of ~1,700 mg/100 g, more than double than blackcurrant (~800 mg/100 g) or blackberry (~600 mg/100 g) fruits [Demasi *et al.*, 2021a; Pérez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2010b]. Looking at single classes of phenolics, petals of *Dianthus pavonius* contain more than 2,000 mg/100 g of flavonols compared to ~100 mg/100 g in spinach, or *Paeonia officinalis* contains ~800 mg/100 g of benzoic acid compared to ~120 mg/100 g in raspberry, or finally *Taraxacum officinale* have ~800 mg/100 g of cinnamic

* Corresponding Author:
E-mail: sonia.demasi@unito.it (S. Demasi)

Submitted: 14 April 2021
Accepted: 28 September 2021
Published on-line: 27 October 2021



acids compared to the 200 mg/100 g of globe artichoke [Demasi *et al.*, 2021a; Pérez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2010b]. It is therefore evident that it is important to deepen the knowledge on flowers composition in order to understand the role of their phenolics in human metabolism.

The quantity and quality of secondary metabolites and bioactive compounds in petals, similarly to other anatomical parts of plants, may be influenced by several factors. A wide variability in the amount and composition of metabolites in plants have been recorded depending on the genotype [Fiehn, 2002], the stage of development [Piccolella *et al.*, 2018], the environmental conditions [Demasi *et al.*, 2018], the cultivation practices [Caser *et al.*, 2019a,b; Najjar *et al.*, 2019], the harvesting time [Pal & Singh, 2013], and storage [Demasi *et al.*, 2021b].

To date, a few EF species have been investigated and this number is expected to increase [Fernandes *et al.*, 2020; Pires *et al.*, 2019]. Rose (*Rosa* spp.) is one of the most beloved and known ornamental plants, with a complex genus classification [Martínez *et al.*, 2020; Smulders *et al.*, 2019]. It is among the most frequently consumed EF worldwide [Fernandes *et al.*, 2020], showing a high phenolic content and antioxidant activity according to the genotype [Demasi *et al.*, 2021a; Fernandes *et al.*, 2020; Guimarães *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2014; Lu *et al.*, 2016; Zheng *et al.*, 2018]. At present, the contribution of EF to human metabolism *in vivo* is almost unexplored and data relative to phenolic urinary excretion after EF consumption in humans are lacking. We carried out an exploratory pilot study in a small group of healthy volunteers who were given a week away both a meal and the same meal with the addition of rose petals with different phenolic contents. Then we explored whether the fresh rose characteristics (total phenolic content, total anthocyanin content, and antioxidant activity) were associated with the excretion of phenolics in human urine, after standardization of the meals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and apparatus

Sodium carbonate, sodium acetate, potassium chloride, potassium persulfate, hydrochloric acid, acetic acid, iron(III) chloride hexahydrate, 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH radical), Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent, and gallic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Oasis MAX Cartridges were purchased from Waters (Milford, CT, USA). A Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to perform spectrophotometric readings.

Plant material

Fresh open flowers of Gourmet Roses™ were provided by the organic nursery RaveraBio® (Rzero Group di Orsini L. & C., Albenga, SV, Italy) once a week from 1st to 21st June 2019. From each of the three supplies, part of the fresh petals was used for the human experiment, and part was grinded in a mortar using liquid nitrogen, then prepared for the spectrophotometric analysis of total phenolic and total anthocyanin

content, and antioxidant activity. One gram of flower powder was extracted with 50 mL of a water-methanol solution (1:1, v/v) at room temperature with ultrasound-assisted extraction (Sarl Reus, Drap, France) at 23 kHz for 30 min. Three different extractions were performed as replicates for each supply. The solution was filtered with one-layer of filter paper (Whatman No. 1, Maidstone, UK) and preserved at -20°C until the spectrophotometric analyses.

Total phenolic content of roses

The total phenolic content was analyzed using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent [Demasi *et al.*, 2021b], by mixing 750 µL of the reagent (diluted 1:10) with 150 µL of the rose extract and 600 µL of Na₂CO₃ (7.5%). The solution was left in a dark room at room temperature for 30 min. Then, its absorbance was read at 765 nm, and results were expressed as g of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per kg of fresh flower (g GAE/kg).

Total anthocyanin content of roses

The pH-differential method was used for anthocyanin measurement [Demasi *et al.*, 2020]. The rose extract (1 mL) was mixed with 9 mL of an aqueous buffer solution at pH 1 (4.026 g KCl + 12.45 mL HCl 37% in a 1 L water volume) in one flask. In another flask, 1 mL of the same rose extract was mixed with an aqueous buffer solution at pH 4.5 (32.82 g C₂H₃NaO₂ + 18 mL C₂H₄O₂ in a 1 L water volume). The solutions were kept in the dark for 20 min at room temperature, and their absorbance was read at 515 nm and 700 nm. Results were expressed as g of cyanidin 3-*O*-glucoside (C3G) per kg of fresh flower (g C3G/kg).

Antioxidant activity of roses

The antioxidant activity of roses was analyzed using different assays: the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), DPPH, and ABTS [Demasi *et al.*, 2021b]. The FRAP assay was performed by mixing 30 µL of the rose extract with 90 µL of deionized water and 900 µL of the FRAP reagent. The solution was kept for 30 min at 37°C, and then its absorbance was measured at 595 nm, and results were expressed as millimoles of ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) equivalents per kg (mmol Fe²⁺/kg). The DPPH assay was performed with the following procedure: a DPPH• solution was obtained by the reaction of 2 mg of DPPH• with 50 mL of MeOH, up to the absorbance of 1.000 at 515 nm. Then, 3 mL of the DPPH• solution was mixed with 40 µL of the rose extract. The mixture was left in the dark at room temperature for 30 min, and then its absorbance was measured at 515 nm. The ABTS assay was performed with the following procedure: the ABTS radical cation solution was obtained by the reaction of 7.0 mM ABTS with 2.45 mM K₂S₂O₈, incubated for 12–16 h in the dark at room temperature and diluted with distilled water until the absorbance of 0.70 had been achieved at 734 nm. Then, 2 mL of the diluted ABTS^{•+} solution was mixed with 30 µL of the rose extract. The mixture was left in the dark at room temperature for 10 min, and then its absorbance was measured at 734 nm. In both DPPH and ABTS methods the results were expressed as mmol of Trolox equivalents (TE) per kilogram (mmol TE/kg).

The water-methanol (1:1, v/v) extraction solution was used as control in each analysis instead of the rose extract.

The human experiment

Twenty healthy volunteers were enrolled for the experiment. Inclusion criteria were age 20–70 years, and a body mass index (BMI) 20–29 kg/m². Exclusion criteria were: treatment with any drugs and/or supplements, subjects in any dietary regimen, pregnant and/or lactating women, the presence of any known disease, active smoking, inability to express informed consent to the study, and known flower allergy. The study was conducted following a randomized cross-over design [Kuntz *et al.*, 2015] and all participants received the same meal without (M; meal without EF) or with (EFM; meal + EF) the addition of 17 g of rose petals after 1 week of wash out. Participants were randomized to receive as a first meal either the meal without EF (M) or the same meal with the addition of EF (EFM). Meals were prepared by the same researcher in the same place; each meal consisted of 2 courses, and their composition is reported in Table 1.

In a random order, 7, 8, and 5 participants received the EFM supplied respectively in the first, second, and third week. Randomization sequence was computer-generated by a statistician. Participants were to consume either the M or the EFM in 60-min under researchers' supervision at 1:00 pm at the kitchen of the School of Dietetics (University of Turin). Volunteers had to eat both the two courses each of the two days of the experiment. During each meal, only water was allowed. The same dietary recommendations for the 24-h before each test and the 24-h after each test were given to all participants. The energy content was calculated according to the participant's energy need (range 1500–1900 kcal); the dietary composition was 20 g/100 g proteins, 30 g/100 g lipids, 50 g/100 g carbohydrates. Fiber intake was restricted to 17 g/day, by reducing phenolic-rich foods (no more than 250 g fruit/day -only peeled apple and banana allowed-, no more than 200 g vegetables/day -only lettuce and zucchini allowed-, no wine, no tea, no coffee, no cocoa) in order to avoid interference from dietary polyphenols. The dietary phenolic intake was calculated according to the published database [Neveu *et al.*, 2010;

Rothwell *et al.*, 2013]. Each participant completed both a 1-day food record to collect data relative to food consumption 24-h before each experiment and a validated food-frequency questionnaire to obtain data relative to usual dietary habits. Diet adherence was verified both by the 1-day food recall and by telephone interview with each participant the day before the experiment. Urine collection began from 4:00 pm of the day of the test until 4:00 pm of the day after the test. Each volunteer was asked to urinate before the meal and then to wait until 4:00 pm before the next voiding. All procedures were in agreement with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration; the study protocol, the questionnaires used, the informed consent, the information for the participant and the *curriculum vitae* of the researchers were submitted to the attention of the Local Bioethics Committee of the University of Turin on 25 March 2019. The study protocol was approved by the Local Bioethics Committee of the University of Turin (No.176859, Turin, Italy) on 2 May 2019. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Total phenolic content of urine

Urine samples were collected into sterilized 1.5 L bottles, acidified with HCl to preserve the phenolic compounds in line with literature [Roura *et al.*, 2006], and processed the following morning to avoid formation of artefacts and loss of phenolic content. The total phenolic content excreted in urine after consumption of the test meals was determined with Folin-Ciocalteu assay after purifying the samples by solid-phase extraction according to literature [Medina-Remón *et al.*, 2009], with the difference that the solid-phase extraction was carried out through Oasis MAX Cartridge Waters containing the same stationary phase as micro titer 96-well plate cartridges. Briefly, 1 mL of acidified urine was applied to an activated Waters Oasis MAX cartridge. The cartridge was rinsed with 4 mL of sodium acetate 50 mM pH 7/5% methanol. The phenolics were eluted with 1.8 mL of 2% (v/v) formic acid in methanol. Then, 30 μ L of the eluted fractions were mixed with 340 μ L of deionized water adding 25 μ L

TABLE 1. Composition of the meal (M) received by the participants. The meal with edible flowers (EFM) was the same with the addition of 17 g of rose petals.

Meal	Ingredient	Quantity (g)	Proteins (g)	Lipids (g)	Carbohydrates (g)	Fiber (g)	Total kcal
Vegetable noodles	Noodles	80	7.3	1.7	39.5	1.81	
	Courgettes	60	0.81	0	0.87	0.87	
	Carrots	50	0.55	0	3.8	1.55	
	Ricotta	40	3.5	4.37	1.4	0	
	Olive oil	10	0	10	0	0	
Baked fish fillet with yogurt sauce	Cod	100	17	0.3	0	0	
	Natural yogurt	50	1.9	1.95	2.15	0	
	Cucumbers	15	0.1	0	0.3	0.11	
	Olive oil	5	0	5	0	0	
Intake (<i>per capita</i>)			30	23	48	4.34	520

of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 60 μL of sodium carbonate (200 g/L). The mixture was incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Later, 145 μL of deionized water was added. Absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent per liter (mg/L) and per day (mg/day).

Statistical analyses

Flowers' variables and human data were reported as means \pm standard deviations. For each rose's phytochemical parameter, differences between the three samples were analyzed by non-parametric analysis of variance using Kruskal-Wallis test, with stepwise comparison, and by Spearman's correlation analyses. Between-group differences in urinary phenolic excretion were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis test. Analyses were performed using SPSS 24.0 Inc. software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Crude and adjusted linear regression models were used to examine the urinary phenolic excretion (dependent variable) in relation to each compositional characteristics and antioxidant activity of edible rose flowers: (a) the flower total phenolic, (b) the total anthocyanin contents, and (c) the antioxidant activity. A multiple regression model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, and dietary phenolic intake was estimated for each phytochemical characteristic (a, b, c). These analyses were done with Statistica software (ver. 7.0; StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioactive compounds in rose petals

The content of phenolics and anthocyanins in rose petals and their antioxidant activity are reported in Table 2. The total phenolic content (6.53, 8.01, and 11.71 g GAE/kg) and the FRAP (298.23, 407.41, 564.77 mmol Fe^{2+} /kg) significantly differed in each sample, increasing from the first to the third week of EF supply. Similarly, anthocyanin content (0.86–1.36 g C3G/kg), DPPH \cdot (26.67–45.58 mmol TE/kg), and ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ (9.49–14.12 mmol TE/kg) scavenging activities were higher in the third sample. All the evaluated parameters of EF were positively highly correlated with each other (p values were always lower than 0.01), as reported in Table 3.

Rosa is an extremely wide and complex genus of plant and comprises more than 150 species and 30,000 cultivars [Smulders *et al.*, 2019]. Some of them have already been studied as edible flowers and source of bioactive compounds (e.g. *Rosa* \times *hybrida*, *Rosa* \times *odorata*, *Rosa centifolia*, *Rosa chinensis*, *Rosa gallica*, *Rosa micrantha*, *Rosa damascena*, *Rosa bourboniana*, *Rosa brunonii*, and *Rosa rugosa*), and results showed a wide variability according to the species [Chen *et al.*, 2018; Guimarães *et al.*, 2010; Kumar *et al.*, 2009; Li *et al.*, 2014; Lu *et al.*, 2016; Mohsen *et al.*, 2020; Rop *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2014; Zheng *et al.*, 2018]. Different analytical assays

TABLE 2. Total phenolic and total anthocyanin contents, and antioxidant activity measured as ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and as DPPH \cdot and ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ scavenging activities of edible roses (Gourmet Roses $^{\text{TM}}$) supplied in the first, second, and third week of June 2019.

Rose supply	Total polyphenol content (g GAE/kg)	Total anthocyanin content (g C3G/kg)	FRAP (mmol Fe^{2+} /kg)	DPPH \cdot scavenging activity (mmol TE/kg)	ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ scavenging activity (mmol TE/kg)
n.1	6.53 \pm 0.70 ^c	0.86 \pm 0.09 ^b	298.23 \pm 35.75 ^c	26.67 \pm 1.47 ^b	9.49 \pm 1.92 ^b
n.2	8.01 \pm 0.19 ^b	0.97 \pm 0.02 ^b	407.41 \pm 16.53 ^b	28.36 \pm 2.62 ^b	9.96 \pm 0.49 ^b
n.3	11.71 \pm 0.40 ^a	1.36 \pm 0.08 ^a	564.77 \pm 6.45 ^a	45.58 \pm 0.82 ^a	14.12 \pm 0.17 ^a
<i>p</i>	0.00003	0.0004	0.00002	0.00002	0.005

Results are expressed as mean of three replicates \pm standard deviation; different letters indicate significant differences in a column according to Kruskal-Wallis stepwise comparisons ($p \leq 0.05$). GAE – gallic acid equivalent; C3G – cyanidin 3-*O*-glucoside; TE – Trolox equivalent.

TABLE 3. Spearman's correlation coefficients between total phenolic content, total anthocyanin content, and antioxidant activity measured as ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and as DPPH \cdot and ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ scavenging activities of edible roses (Gourmet Roses $^{\text{TM}}$).

		FRAP	DPPH \cdot scavenging activity	ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ scavenging activity	Total anthocyanin content
Total phenolic content	Correlation coefficient	0.983	0.850	0.803	0.833
	<i>p</i>	0.000	0.004	0.009	0.005
FRAP	Correlation coefficient		0.883	0.820	0.850
	<i>p</i>		0.002	0.007	0.004
DPPH \cdot scavenging activity	Correlation coefficient			0.887	0.800
	<i>p</i>			0.001	0.010
ABTS $^{+\cdot}$ scavenging activity	Correlation coefficient				0.937
	<i>p</i>				0.000

(e.g. FRAP, DPPH, and ABTS, or total phenolic content) are necessary to evaluate the antioxidant activity of matrices. However, different extraction and analytical methods, and sample preparation could make the comparison among different studies difficult [Santos-Buelga *et al.*, 2012]. The range of total phenolic content has been reported to vary from 5.00 to 24.00 g GAE/kg in *R. × hybrida* and *R. × odorata* [Li *et al.*, 2014; Rop *et al.*, 2012], while other authors [Chen *et al.*, 2018] found 74 g GAE/kg in pink *R. rugosa* (on dry weight). Twelve rose cultivars are reported to have a total anthocyanin content ranging from 0 to 2.50 g C3G/kg [Friedman *et al.*, 2010]. In four rose species [Zheng *et al.*, 2018], ranges of 360–3620 mmol Fe²⁺/kg were recorded with FRAP, and 239–1037 mmol TE/kg with ABTS assay. ABTS⁺ scavenging activities of 2–36 mmol TE/kg and 653 mmol TE/kg were reported in fresh flowers of 12 rose cultivars [Friedman *et al.*, 2010] and in dry flowers of *R. rugosa* [Zhang *et al.*, 2014], respectively. In turn, 101 mmol TE/kg (by ABTS assay) and 451 mmol Fe²⁺/kg (by FRAP) were recorded in *R. × hybrida* petals [Li *et al.*, 2014]. Our results on Gourmet Roses™ petals are consistent with the above-mentioned ranges, except for the DPPH assay, which detected lower values than those reported in literature (243–520 mmol TE/kg) [Chen *et al.*, 2018; Zheng *et al.*, 2018].

The petals of roses harvested at one-week distance during the month of July showed an increasing content over time in bioactive compounds, namely total phenolics, and anthocyanins. Consequently, an increased antioxidant activity was found. These parameters were positively correlated, confirming previous results on edible plants and wildflowers [Demasi *et al.*, 2021a; Li *et al.*, 2014]. The secondary metabolite content in roses varies among species and may be triggered by various stimuli, which commonly occur because of seasonal variations, or biotic and abiotic stresses. Similarly, also the phenological stage and senescence of the plant could have determined an increased production of antioxidants, such as phenolic compounds, as a defense system that can lead to

increments both in the phenolic content and the biological activity over time [Piccolella *et al.*, 2018].

Urinary phenolic content

Twenty volunteers participated in the study (12 males, 8 females); their mean age and BMI were 41.2±10.8 years and 22.6±3.2 kg/m², respectively. Their usual mean phenolic dietary intake was 931.3±219.1 mg/day. The 24-h before each experiment, the mean phenolic dietary intake was lower (784.5±230.4 mg/day) in line with the given dietary recommendations for those days. Diet adherence was evaluated by 1-day food record and telephone interview the day before each experiment and resulted to be adequate. Out of them, 2 volunteers (1 male and 1 female, both receiving the third EF sample) did not perform a correct 24-h urine collection. Therefore, the urine samples of 18 subjects were analyzed. No adverse effects related to the EF assumption were reported by participants.

The differences in urinary phenolic excretion (expressed as mg/L or mg/day) between the participant consuming EFM and M were -1.8±11.4, 7.0±14.6, 59.1±94.6 mg/L ($p=0.15$ according to Kruskal-Wallis) and -4.5±20.2, 6.8±10.9, 72.7±124.1 mg/day ($p=0.15$), respectively from the first, second, and third supply of roses. The associations between the urinary human phenolic excretion (dependent variable) and the EF characteristics, namely total phenolic and total anthocyanin content, and antioxidant activity are shown in Table 4. Direct significant associations were found with all the EF characteristics in both crude and adjusted models, in which four variables potentially impacting the intake or excretion of phenolics (age, gender, body mass index, and dietary phenolic intake) were considered. It is reassuring that the associations remain statistically significant in the adjusted model, despite the small size of the sample (20 participants). This might suggest a potential influence of the rose characteristics on the human absorption of the rose phenolic content.

TABLE 4. Parameters of a regression model for relationships between differences in 24-h urinary phenolic excretion of participants consuming meal with and without edible flower, and the roses (Gourmet Roses™) characteristics.

Characteristics	Model	β_1	SE ₁	p_1	β_2	SE ₂	p_2
Total phenolic content (g GAE/kg)	Crude	0.11	0.048	0.031	0.15	0.063	0.032
	Adjusted ^a	0.16	0.063	0.030	0.19	0.084	0.044
Total anthocyanin content (g C3G/kg)	Crude	1.21	0.50	0.027	1.58	0.65	0.027
	Adjusted	1.65	0.65	0.026	2.03	0.86	0.035
Ferric reducing antioxidant power (mmol Fe ²⁺ /kg)	Crude	0.20	0.09	0.046	0.26	0.12	0.049
	Adjusted	0.28	0.12	0.047	0.32	0.17	0.07
DPPH [•] scavenging activity (mmol TE/kg)	Crude	3.10	1.24	0.024	4.09	1.62	0.022
	Adjusted	4.27	1.64	0.023	5.40	2.12	0.026
ABTS ^{•+} scavenging activity (mmol TE/kg)	Crude	12.85	5.15	0.024	16.90	6.70	0.023
	Adjusted	17.67	6.78	0.023	22.24	8.79	0.026

^a adjusted – multiple regression model adjusted for age, gender, body mass index, and dietary polyphenol intake. β_1 , SE₁, p_1 – parameters for urinary phenolic excretion expressed in mg/L; β_2 , SE₂, p_2 – parameters for urinary phenolic excretion expressed in mg/day. β – regression coefficient; SE – standard error; GAE – gallic acid equivalent; C3G – cyanidin 3-O-glucoside; TE – Trolox equivalent.

Polyphenols bioavailability varies widely among different classes of phenolics and they can be absorbed and metabolized differently according to their chemical structure [Teng & Chen, 2019]. Briefly, part of them are absorbed by the small intestine, while part are metabolized by microbiota. The metabolites reach the liver to be absorbed by tissues and cells or the kidneys, to be ultimately excreted through urine. Phenolic intake from food has been therefore associated with human total urinary phenolic excretion [Nielsen *et al.*, 2002; Mennen *et al.*, 2006; Pérez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2010a; Roura *et al.*, 2006; Spencer *et al.*, 2008; Zamora-Ros *et al.*, 2011]. A systematic review has suggested that urinary phenolics might be considered as an indicator of phenolic intake [Pérez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2010a]. An increased consumption of phenolic compounds with diet has been reported to reduce cardiovascular risk factors [Guo *et al.*, 2016; Medina-Remón *et al.*, 2017], the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus [Wedick *et al.*, 2012], cardiovascular events, and all-cause mortality [Agudo *et al.*, 2007; Alonso *et al.*, 2004; Covas *et al.*, 2001; Grassi *et al.*, 2005; Manach *et al.*, 2005; Tresserra-Rimbau *et al.*, 2014a,b], and to decrease blood concentrations of inflammatory biomarkers [Medina-Remón *et al.*, 2017]. The beneficial effects of dietary polyphenols may also be due to a bi-directional relationship with the gut microbiota: polyphenols can favorably affect the gut microbiota composition, and the gut microflora could metabolize polyphenols into beneficial bioactive compounds, such as chlorogenic acid and the derived compounds [Fraga *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2020a; Ozdal *et al.*, 2016; Tomas-Barberan *et al.*, 2014].

At present, only *in vitro* and animal studies evaluated the effects of EF phenolics. The anti-inflammatory property of extract of *R. canina*, tested on the carrageenin-induced rat paw edema assay, was demonstrated by the inhibition of carrageenin-induced edema, similarly to the effect of indomethacin [Lattanzio *et al.*, 2011]. Flower compounds have been reported both to induce cell apoptosis *via* the p53 signaling and p38 MAPK/FasL (mitogen-activated protein kinase-FAS ligand) cascade pathways [Lin *et al.*, 2005; Lo *et al.*, 2007] and to ameliorate the ROS-mediated mitochondrial dysfunction pathway [Hou *et al.*, 2005; Lin *et al.*, 2005; Lo *et al.*, 2007]. Hibiscus acid from *Hibiscus sabdariffa* (roselle) and (+)-epimagnolin A and (+)-magnolin from *Magnolia denudata* induced weight loss in animals and in *in vitro* experiments, by acting on fat metabolism-related enzymes, down-regulating adipocyte differentiation *via* the modulation of the PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase) and MAP-kinase pathways and inhibiting α -amylase activity and sugar/starch absorption [Hansawasdi *et al.*, 2001; Kim *et al.*, 2007; Kong *et al.*, 2011; Preuss *et al.*, 2007]. Similarly, the methanol extract of *Nymphaeaceae* inhibited the lipid storage in adipocytes by promoting lipolysis [Hansawasdi *et al.*, 2001; Lee *et al.*, 2010; Kong *et al.*, 2011; Velusami *et al.*, 2013]. *Rosa* spp. are very rich in quercetin, which has been shown to inhibit both α -glucosidase and α -amylase, thus reducing the intestinal absorption of glucose [Lu *et al.*, 2016; Oboh *et al.*, 2015]. However, different EF showed great variability in their phenolic composition and bio-accessibility through an *in vitro* digestion model coupled to a simulated intestinal barrier [de Moraes *et al.*, 2020]. Thus, *in vivo* and human studies are needed to define the potential role of EF on human health.

This is the first human study analyzing the relationship between the dietary content of phenolics from EF and the urinary phenolic excretion in healthy volunteers. According to the multiple regression analysis, we found a direct relationship between the increasing rose phenolic content and the phenolic excretion, meaning that phenolics have been absorbed and metabolized by the body [Pérez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2010a].

CONCLUSIONS

The preliminary data of this pilot explorative study suggest the importance of carrying out further human trials to characterize the absorption of the phenolics contained in the EF and their impact on the human oxidative status. Indeed, edible roses were confirmed as a rich source of bioactive compounds (total phenolics and total anthocyanins) with high antioxidant activity, and the increasing values of these parameters in flowers corresponded to an increase of the urinary phenolic excretion in healthy volunteers. Interestingly, the amount of EF used in the recipes (17 g) provided an amount of phenolics (111–199 mg GAE) proper to fill the gap between the dietary recommendations during the trial (784 mg/day) and the usual mean dietary intake of the participants (931 mg/day), suggesting that no other supplemented phenolics are required to meet their needs. Though, we cannot exclude the possibility that an absorption plateau could be reached at a specific threshold of phenolic intake by EF supplementation. In order to reduce the risk of bias, the conditions were standardized as much as possible before and during the human experiment and the participants reported an adequate compliance to the given dietary recommendations. Even though for the purposes of a pilot study any sample size from 12 upwards has been considered adequate [Julious, 2005], a larger sample of participants will be needed for future studies to specifically test a dose-response relationship [Shader, 2015]. Moreover, the evaluation, besides urine, also of blood markers of oxidative stress, inflammation, kidney and liver function would be of interest to define the efficiency of phenolics absorption and the potential benefits and safety of adding specific EF in daily diet.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thank RZero Group s.r.l. for providing rose flowers, and Mina Novello for suggesting the recipes.

RESEARCH FUNDING

This research was partially funded by the program Interreg V-A Francia Italia Alcotra, project n. 1139 “ANTEA – Attività innovative per lo sviluppo della filiera transfrontaliera del fiore edule”.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that this study received component from RZero Group s.r.l. The provider was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

ORCID IDs

S. Bo <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6862-8628>
 S. Demasi <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6223-5436>
 A. Devecchi <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2508-1110>
 R. Rosato <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4921-374X>
 V. Scariot <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0195-1361>

REFERENCES

- Agudo, A., Cabrera, L., Amiano, P., Ardanaz, E., Barricarte, A., Berenguer, T., Chirlaque, M.D., Dorronsoro, M., Jakszyn, P., Larrañaga, N., Martínez, C., Navarro, C., Quirós, J.R., Sánchez, M.J., Tormo, M.J., González, C.A. (2007). Fruit and vegetable intakes, dietary antioxidant nutrients, and total mortality in Spanish adults: findings from the Spanish cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Spain). *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 85(6), 1634–1642.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/85.6.1634>
- Alonso, A., de la Fuente, C., Martín-Arnau, A.M., de Irala, J., Alfredo Martínez, J., Martínez-González, M.Á. (2004). Fruit and vegetable consumption is inversely associated with blood pressure in a Mediterranean population with a high vegetable-fat intake: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) Study. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 92(2), 311–319.
<https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20041196>
- Caser, M., Chitarra, W., D'Angiulillo, F., Perrone, I., Demasi, S., Lovisolò, C., Pistelli, L., Pistelli, L., Scariot, V. (2019a). Drought stress adaptation modulates plant secondary metabolite production in *Sabia dolomitica* Codd. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 129, 85–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.11.068>
- Caser, M., Demasi, S., Victorino, Í.M.M., Donno, D., Faccio, A., Lumini, E., Bianciotto, V., Scariot, V. (2019b). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi modulate the crop performance and metabolic profile of saffron in soilless cultivation. *Agronomy*, 9(5), 232.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9050232>
- Chen, G.L., Chen, S.G., Xiao, Y., Fu, N.L. (2018). Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic contents of 30 flowers. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 111, 430–445.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.10.051>
- Covas, M.I., Fitó, M., Marrugat, J., Miró, E., Farré, M., De la Torre, R., Gimeno, E., López-Sabater, M.C., Lamuela-Raventós, R., De la Torre-Boronat, H.C. (2001). Coronary heart disease protective factors: Antioxidant effect of olive oil | Facteurs protecteurs de la maladie coronarienne: Effet antioxydant de l'huile d'olive. *Thérapie*, 56(5), 607–611. Available at: [<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11806301>]
- de Morais, J.S., Sant'Ana, A.S., Dantas, A.M., Silva, B.S., Lima, M.S., Borges, G.C., Magnani, M. (2020). Antioxidant activity and bioaccessibility of phenolic compounds in white, red, blue, purple, yellow and orange edible flowers through a simulated intestinal barrier. *Food Research International*, 131, art. no. 109046.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109046>
- Del Bo, C., Bernardi, S., Marino, M., Porrini, M., Tucci, M., Guglielmetti, S., Cherubini, A., Carrieri, B., Kirkup, B., Kroon, P., Zamora-Ros, R., Liberona, N.H., Andres-Lacueva, C., Riso, P. (2019). Systematic review on polyphenol intake and health outcomes: is there sufficient evidence to define a health-promoting polyphenol-rich dietary pattern? *Nutrients*, 11(6), art. no. 1355.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11061355>
- Demasi, S., Caser, M., Donno, D., Ravetto Enri, S., Lonati, M., Scariot, V. (2021a). Exploring wild edible flowers as a source of bioactive compounds: New perspectives in horticulture. *Folia Horticulturae*, 33(1), 27–48.
<https://doi.org/10.2478/fhort-2021-0004>
- Demasi, S., Caser, M., Lonati, M., Cioni, P. L., Pistelli, L., Najar, B., Scariot, V. (2018). Latitude and altitude influence secondary metabolite production in peripheral alpine populations of the mediterranean species *Lavandula angustifolia* Mill. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, art. no. 983.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00983>
- Demasi, S., Falla, N.M., Caser, M., Scariot, V. (2020). Postharvest aptitude of *Begonia semperflorens* and *Viola cornuta* edible flowers. *Advances in Horticultural Science*, 34(1S), 13–20.
<https://doi.org/10.13128/ahsc-7444>
- Demasi, S., Mellano, M.G., Falla, N.M., Caser, M., Scariot, V. (2021b). Sensory profile, shelf life, and dynamics of bioactive compounds during cold storage of 17 edible flowers. *Horticulturae*, 7(7), art. no. 166.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7070166>
- Falla, N.M., Contu, S., Demasi, S., Caser, M., Scariot, V. (2020). Environmental impact of edible flower production: A case study. *Agronomy*, 10(4), 1–17.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10040579>
- Fernandes, L., Casal, S., Pereira, J.A., Saraiva, J.A., Ramalhosa, E. (2020). An overview on the market of edible flowers. *Food Reviews International*, 36(3), 258–275.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2019.1639727>
- Fiehn, O. (2002). Metabolomics — the link between genotypes and phenotypes. In C. Town (Eds.), *Functional Genomics*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 155–171.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0448-0_11
- Fraga, C.G., Croft, K.D., Kennedy, D.O., Tomás-Barberán, F.A. (2019). The effects of polyphenols and other bioactives on human health. *Food & Function*, 10(2), 514–528.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C8FO01997E>
- Friedman, H., Agami, O., Vinokur, Y., Droby, S., Cohen, L., Refaeli, G., Resnick, N., Umiel, N. (2010). Characterization of yield, sensitivity to *Botrytis cinerea* and antioxidant content of several rose species suitable for edible flowers. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 123(3), 395–401.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2009.09.019>
- González-Barrio, R., Periago, M.J., Luna-Recio, C., Garcia-Alonso, F.J., Navarro-González, I. (2018). Chemical composition of the edible flowers, pansy (*Viola wittrockiana*) and snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus*) as new sources of bioactive compounds. *Food Chemistry*, 252, 373–380.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.102>
- Grassi, D., Necozione, S., Lippi, C., Croce, G., Valeri, L., Pasqualetti, P., Desideri, G., Blumberg, J.B., Ferri, C. (2005). Cocoa reduces blood pressure and insulin resistance and improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation in hypertensives. *Hypertension*, 46(2), 398–405.
<https://doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.0000174990.46027.70>

20. Grzeszczuk, M., Stefaniak, A., Pachlowska, A. (2016). Biological value of various edible flower species. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus*, 15(2), 109–119.
21. Guimarães, R., Barros, L., Carvalho, A.M., Ferreira, I.C.F.R. (2010). Studies on chemical constituents and bioactivity of *Rosa micrantha*: An alternative antioxidants source for food, pharmaceutical, or cosmetic applications. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(10), 6277–6284.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jf101394w>
22. Guo, X., Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Estruch, R., Martínez-González, M.A., Medina-Remón, A., Castañer, O., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2016). Effects of polyphenol, measured by a biomarker of total polyphenols in urine, on cardiovascular risk factors after a long-term follow-up in the PRE-DIMED study. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2016, 1–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2572606>
23. Hansawasdi, C., Kawabata, J., Kasai, T. (2001). Hibiscus acid as an inhibitor of starch digestion in the Caco-2 cell model system. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 65(9), 2087–2089.
<https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.65.2087>
24. Hou, D.X., Tong, X., Terahara, N., Luo, D., Fujii, M. (2005). Delphinidin 3-sambubioside, a *Hibiscus* anthocyanin, induces apoptosis in human leukemia cells through reactive oxygen species-mediated mitochondrial pathway. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 440(1), 101–109.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2005.06.002>
25. Julious, S.A. (2005). Sample size of 12 per group rule of thumb for a pilot study. *Pharmaceutical Statistics*, 4(4), 287–291.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/pst.185>
26. Kim, J.K., So, H., Youn, M.J., Kim, H.J., Kim, Y., Park, C., Kim, S.J., Ha, Y.A., Chai, K.Y., Kim, S.M., Kim, K.Y., Park, R. (2007). *Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. water extract inhibits the adipocyte differentiation through the PI3-K and MAPK pathway. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 114(2), 260–267.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2007.08.028>
27. Kong, C.S., Lee, J.I., Kim, J.A., Seo, Y. (2011). *In vitro* evaluation on the antiobesity effect of lignans from the flower buds of *Magnolia denudata*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(10), 5665–5670.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jf200230s>
28. Kumar, N., Bhandari, P., Singh, B., Bari, S.S. (2009). Antioxidant activity and ultra-performance LC-electrospray ionization-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry for phenolics-based fingerprinting of rose species: *Rosa damascena*, *Rosa bourboniana* and *Rosa brunonii*. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 47(2), 361–367.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2008.11.036>
29. Kuntz, S., Rudloff, S., Asseburg, H., Borsch, C., Fröhling, B., Unger, F., Dold, S., Spengler, B., Römpf, A., Kunz, C. (2015). Uptake and bioavailability of anthocyanins and phenolic acids from grape/blueberry juice and smoothie *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 113(7), 1044–1055.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515000161>
30. Lattanzio, F., Greco, E., Carretta, D., Cervellati, R., Govoni, P., Speroni, E. (2011). *In vivo* anti-inflammatory effect of *Rosa canina* L. extract. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 137(1), 880–885.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2011.07.006>
31. Lee, E.J., Kim, J.S., Kim, H.P., Lee, J.H., Kang, S.S. (2010). Phenolic constituents from the flower buds of *Lonicera japonica* and their 5-lipoxygenase inhibitory activities. *Food Chemistry*, 120(1), 134–139.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.09.088>
32. Li, A.N., Li, S., Li, H.B., Xu, D.P., Xu, X.R., Chen, F. (2014). Total phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of 51 edible and wild flowers. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 6(1), 319–330.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2013.10.022>
33. Lim, T.K. (2014a). *Edible Medicinal And Non-Medicinal Plants. Volume 7, Flowers*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7395-0>
34. Lim, T. K. (2014b). *Edible Medicinal and Non-Medicinal Plants. Volume 8, Flowers*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8748-2>
35. Lin, H.H., Huang, H.P., Huang, C.C., Chen, J.H., Wang, C.J. (2005). *Hibiscus* polyphenol-rich extract induces apoptosis in human gastric carcinoma cells *via* p53 phosphorylation and p38 MAPK/FasL cascade pathway. *Molecular Carcinogenesis*, 43(2), 86–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.20103>
36. Liu, J., He, Z., Ma, N., Chen, Z.Y. (2020a). Beneficial effects of dietary polyphenols on high-fat diet-induced obesity linking with modulation of gut microbiota. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(1), 33–47.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06817>
37. Liu, R.H. (2003). Health benefits of fruit and vegetables are from additive and synergistic combinations of phytochemicals. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 78(3), 517S–520S.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/78.3.517S>
38. Lo, C.W., Huang, H.P., Lin, H.M., Chien, C.T., Wang, C.J. (2007). Effect of *Hibiscus* anthocyanins-rich extract induces apoptosis of proliferating smooth muscle cell *via* activation of P38 MAPK and p53 pathway. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 51(12), 1452–1460.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200700151>
39. Loizzo, M.R., Pugliese, A., Bonesi, M., Tenuta, M.C., Menichini, F., Xiao, J., Tundis, R. (2016). Edible flowers: a rich source of phytochemicals with antioxidant and hypoglycemic properties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 64(12), 2467–2474.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b03092>
40. Lu, B., Li, M., Yin, R. (2016). Phytochemical content, health benefits, and toxicology of common edible flowers: a review (2000–2015). *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 56, S130–S148.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1078276>
41. Manach, C., Mazur, A., Scalbert, A. (2005). Polyphenols and prevention of cardiovascular diseases. *Current Opinion in Lipidology*, 16(1), 77–84.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/00041433-200502000-00013>
42. Martínez, M.C., Santiago, J.L., Boso, S., Gago, P., Álvarez-Acero, I., De Vega, M.E., Martínez-Bartolomé, M., Álvarez-Nogal, R., Molist, P., Caser, M., Scariot, V., Gómez-García, D. (2020). Narcea – an unknown, ancient cultivated rose variety from northern Spain. *Horticulture Research*, 7(1), art. no. 44.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-020-0266-8>
43. Medina-Remón, A., Barrionuevo-González, A., Zamora-Ros, R., Andres-Lacueva, C., Estruch, R., Martínez-González, M.-Á., Diez-Espino, J., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2009). Rapid Fo-

- lin-Ciocalteu method using microtiter 96-well plate cartridges for solid phase extraction to assess urinary total phenolic compounds, as a biomarker of total polyphenols intake. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 634(1), 54–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2008.12.012>
44. Medina-Remón, A., Casas, R., Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Ros, E., Martínez-González, M. A., Fitó, M., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M., Estruch, R. (2017). Polyphenol intake from a Mediterranean diet decreases inflammatory biomarkers related to atherosclerosis: a substudy of the PREDIMED trial. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 83(1), 114–128.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12986>
 45. Mennen, L.I., Sapinho, D., Ito, H., Bertrais, S., Galan, P., Herberg, S., Scalbert, A. (2006). Urinary flavonoids and phenolic acids as biomarkers of intake for polyphenol-rich foods. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 96(1), art. no. 191.
<https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20061808>
 46. Mohsen, E., Younis, I.Y., Farag, M.A. (2020). Metabolites profiling of Egyptian *Rosa damascena* Mill. flowers as analyzed via ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and solid-phase microextraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry in relation to its anti-collagenase skin effect. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 155, art. no. 112818.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112818>
 47. Najar, B., Demasi, S., Caser, M., Gaino, W., Cioni, P.L., Pistelli, L., Scariot, V. (2019). Cultivation substrate composition influences morphology, volatilome and essential oil of *Lavandula angustifolia* Mill. *Agronomy*, 9(8), art. no. 411.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9080411>
 48. Navarro-González, I., González-Barrio, R., García-Valverde, V., Bautista-Ortín, A.B., Periago, M.J. (2015). Nutritional composition and antioxidant capacity in edible flowers: Characterisation of phenolic compounds by HPLC-DAD-ESI/MSn. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(1), 805–822.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16010805>
 49. Neveu, V., Perez-Jiménez, J., Vos, F., Crespy, V., du Chaffaut, L., Mennen, L., Knox, C., Eisner, R., Cruz, J., Wishart, D., Scalbert, A. (2010). Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on polyphenol contents in foods. *Database*, 2010, bap024–bap024.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bap024>
 50. Nielsen, S.E., Freese, R., Kleemola, P., Mutanen, M. (2002). Flavonoids in human urine as biomarkers for intake of fruits and vegetables. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*, 11(5), 459–466. Available at: [<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12010860>]
 51. Oboh, G., Ademosun, A.O., Ayeni, P.O., Omojokun, O.S., Bello, F. (2015). Comparative effect of quercetin and rutin on α -amylase, α -glucosidase, and some pro-oxidant-induced lipid peroxidation in rat pancreas. *Comparative Clinical Pathology*, 24(5), 1103–1110.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00580-014-2040-5>
 52. Ozdal, T., Sela, D.A., Xiao, J., Boyacioglu, D., Chen, F., Capanoglu, E. (2016). The reciprocal interactions between polyphenols and gut microbiota and effects on bioaccessibility. *Nutrients*, 8(2), art. no. 78.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8020078>
 53. Pal, P.K., Singh, R.D. (2013). Understanding crop-ecology and agronomy of *Rosa damascena* Mill. for higher productivity. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 7(2), 196–205.
 54. Pérez-Jiménez, J., Hubert, J., Hooper, L., Cassidy, A., Manach, C., Williamson, G., Scalbert, A. (2010a). Urinary metabolites as biomarkers of polyphenol intake in humans: a systematic review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 92(4), 801–809.
<https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29924>
 55. Pérez-Jiménez, J., Neveu, V., Vos, F., Scalbert, A. (2010b). Identification of the 100 richest dietary sources of polyphenols: an application of the Phenol-Explorer database. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 64(S3), S112–S120.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2010.221>
 56. Piccolella, S., Crescente, G., Pacifico, F., Pacifico, S. (2018). Wild aromatic plants bioactivity: a function of their (poly)phenol seasonality? A case study from Mediterranean area. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 17(4), 785–799.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-018-9558-0>
 57. Pires, T.C.S.P., Barros, L., Santos-Buelga, C., Ferreira, I.C.F.R. (2019). Edible flowers: Emerging components in the diet. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 93, 244–258.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.09.020>
 58. Preuss, H.G., Echard, B., Bagchi, D., Stohs, S. (2007). Inhibition by natural dietary substances of gastrointestinal absorption of starch and sucrose in rats and pigs: 1. Acute studies. *International Journal of Medical Sciences*, 4(4), 196–202.
<https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.4.196>
 59. Rop, O., Mlcek, J., Jurikova, T., Neugebauerova, J., Vabkova, J. (2012). Edible flowers – A new promising source of mineral elements in human nutrition. *Molecules*, 17(6), 6672–6683.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules17066672>
 60. Rothwell, J.A., Perez-Jimenez, J., Neveu, V., Medina-Reimon, A., M'Hiri, N., Garcia-Lobato, P., Manach, C., Knox, C., Eisner, R., Wishart, D.S., Scalbert, A. (2013). Phenol-Explorer 3.0: a major update of the Phenol-Explorer database to incorporate data on the effects of food processing on polyphenol content. *Database*, 2013, art. no. bat070.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bat070>
 61. Roura, E., Andrés-Lacueva, C., Estruch, R., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2006). Total polyphenol intake estimated by a modified folin-ciocalteu assay of urine. *Clinical Chemistry*, 52(4), 749–752.
<https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2005.063628>
 62. Santos-Buelga, C., Gonzalez-Manzano, S., Dueñas, M., Gonzalez-Paramas, A.M. (2012). Extraction and isolation of phenolic compounds. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 864, 427–464.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-624-1_17
 63. Scariot, V., Gaino, W., Demasi, S., Caser, M., Ruffoni, B. (2018). Flowers for edible gardens: combinations of species and colours for northwestern Italy. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1215, 363–368.
<https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1215.67>
 64. Shader, R.I. (2015). Proof of feasibility: what a pilot study is and is not. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 37(7), 1379–1380.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2015.06.011>
 65. Slinkard, K., Singleton, V.L. (1977). Total phenol analysis: automation and comparison with manual methods. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 28(1), 49–55
 66. Smulders, M.J.M., Arens, P., Bourke, P.M., Debener, T., Linde, M., De Riek, J., Leus, L., Ruttink, T., Baudino, S., Hibrant Saint-Oyant, L., Clotault, J., Foucher, F. (2019). In the name of the rose: a roadmap for rose research in the genome era. *Horticulture Research*, 6(1), art. no. 65.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0156-0>

67. Spencer, J.P.E., Abd El Mohsen, M.M., Minihane, A.M., Mathers, J.C. (2008). Biomarkers of the intake of dietary polyphenols: strengths, limitations and application in nutrition research. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99(1), 12–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114507798938>
68. Takahashi, J.A., Rezende, F.A.G.G., Moura, M.A.F., Dominguet, L.C.B., Sande, D. (2020). Edible flowers: Bioactive profile and its potential to be used in food development. *Food Research International*, 129, art. no. 108868.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108868>
69. Teng, H., Chen, L. (2019). Polyphenols and bioavailability: an update. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 59(13), 2040–2051.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1437023>
70. Tomas-Barberan, F., García-Villalba, R., Quartieri, A., Raimondi, S., Amaretti, A., Leonardi, A., Rossi, M. (2014). *In vitro* transformation of chlorogenic acid by human gut microbiota. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 58(5), 1122–1131.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201300441>
71. Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Rimm, E.B., Medina-Remón, A., Martínez-González, M.A., de la Torre, R., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Lapetra, J., Arós, F., Fiol, M., Ros, E., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Saez, G.T., Basora, J., Sorlí, J.V., Martínez, J.A., Vinyoles, E., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Estruch, R., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2014a). Inverse association between habitual polyphenol intake and incidence of cardiovascular events in the PREDIMED study. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 24(6), 639–647.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2013.12.014>
72. Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Rimm, E.B., Medina-Remón, A., Martínez-González, M.A., López-Sabater, M.C., Covas, M.I., Corella, D., Salas-Salvadó, J., Gómez-Gracia, E., Lapetra, J., Arós, F., Fiol, M., Ros, E., Serra-Majem, L., Pintó, X., Muñoz, M.A., Gea, A., Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V., Estruch, R., Lamuela-Raventós, R.M. (2014b). Polyphenol intake and mortality risk: a re-analysis of the PREDIMED trial. *BMC Medicine*, 12(1), art. no. 77.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-12-77>
73. Velusami, C.C., Agarwal, A., Mookambeswaran, V. (2013). Effect of *Nelumbo nucifera* petal extracts on lipase, adipogenesis, adipolysis, and central receptors of obesity. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2013, art. no. 145925.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/145925>
74. Wedick, N.M., Pan, A., Cassidy, A., Rimm, E.B., Sampson, L., Rosner, B., Willett, W., Hu, F.B., Sun, Q., van Dam, R.M. (2012). Dietary flavonoid intakes and risk of type 2 diabetes in US men and women. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 95(4), 925–933.
<https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.028894>
75. Zamora-Ros, R., Rabassa, M., Cherubini, A., Urpí-Sardà, M., Bandinelli, S., Ferrucci, L., Andres-Lacueva, C. (2013). High concentrations of a urinary biomarker of polyphenol intake are associated with decreased mortality in older adults. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 143(9), 1445–1450.
<https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.113.177121>
76. Zamora-Ros, R., Rabassa, M., Cherubini, A., Urpí-Sardà, M., Llorach, R., Bandinelli, S., Ferrucci, L., Andres-Lacueva, C. (2011). Comparison of 24-h volume and creatinine-corrected total urinary polyphenol as a biomarker of total dietary polyphenols in the Invecchiare InCHIANTI study. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 704(1–2), 110–115.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2011.07.035>
77. Zhang, J., Rui, X., Wang, L., Guan, Y., Sun, X., Dong, M. (2014). Polyphenolic extract from *Rosa rugosa* tea inhibits bacterial quorum sensing and biofilm formation. *Food Control*, 42, 125–131.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.001>
78. Zheng, J., Yu, X., Maninder, M., Xu, B. (2018). Total phenolics and antioxidants profiles of commonly consumed edible flowers in China. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 21(1), 1524–1540.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2018.1494195>