

ATTEMPT AT APPLYING PARAMETRIC STATISTICAL TESTS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE DECLARATIVE PROBIOTIC BACTERIA NUMBER IN SELECTED DAIRY PRODUCTS

Adam Roskosz, Marian Kujawski, Monika Kopeć, Alicja Taraszkievicz

Chair of Dairy Science and Quality Management, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn

Key words: health food, statistical method of parametric tests, significance tests: Student-t and Snedecor's F distributions

During this study quality and declared health features of probiotic yogurt milk were assessed. Using the methods of statistical analysis a tool was developed allowing verification of formulated statistical hypotheses. It was established that no statistically significant differences were present in the assessment of the number of microorganisms dependent on the dilutions applied. It was shown that the number of live bacteria in the product during storage decreases and that those changes are statistically significant. It was determined that the average number of live probiotic bacteria in the product in all samples during storage was significantly higher than declared by the producer m value and it indicated appropriate health quality of the tested product.

INTRODUCTION

Increasing awareness of nutrition influence on human health and fitness has resulted in a dynamic development in production of functional food also known as health food [Szepieniec-Puchalska, 2001].

The development of diet science resulted in the situation where food is no longer perceived in the traditional way as a source of energy and nutrients that serve satisfying human needs but it is also seen as food products capable of a positive influence on human health [Kostogryś *et al.*, 2002].

Recently supplies of food products containing health additives, most frequently in the form of synthetic vitamins and mineral components, have increased significantly. They may also contain bioactive components possessing known favorable health properties: food cellulose, oligosaccharides, polyols (polyhydric alcohols) amino acids, peptides, proteins, polyunsaturated fatty acids, vitamins, mineral components, choline and lecithin, lactic fermentation bacteria, phytochemical substances and many others [Ochocki & Stańczyk, 2003]. Such products are referred to as “functional products”.

Functional food should be treated as a supplement to a balanced diet and not as a substitute. Consumers should not believe that their diet is healthy and balanced if they consume a certain volume of functional food products [Lutomski, 2003]. Because of the specific composition, functional food can be divided into a number of groups which include, among others, probiotic food [Kostogryś *et al.*, 2002].

In recent years, new generation probiotic products,

which, thanks to proven health properties, are recommended by the Institute of Food and Nutrition, have been floated to the food market. They contain live cultures of bacteria: traditional strains of yogurt bacteria – *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* as well as *Lactobacillus casei defensis* strain, which, as a consequence of its particular probiotic properties, has been registered with the Pasteur Institute in Paris.

With the large supply of products referred to as “health-promoting” or “functional” food, the consistency of offered products quality declared by the manufacturer and their real quality have become an issue. Achieving the appropriate product quality requires the application of adequate manufacturing processes, means of production and controls during the production process and of the final product. That is linked to increasingly wide application of modern quality control methods, among them statistical methods based on parametric tests [Koronacki, 1999].

This study aimed at developing an application in the spreadsheet environment and verifying its applicability to assessment and controlling the significance of difference of consistency in numbers of live probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus casei defensis* in the product during its shelf life and the number declared by the manufacturer, by applying the parametric tests method.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subject and scope of studies. The data for statistical tests was obtained by determining the number of live *Lactobacillus casei defensis* bacteria in natural flavor yogurt milk. Product for the tests was purchased once, on day 13

since the production date in the amount of 40 containers (100 mL in capacity each). The purchased product was divided into 5 batches of 8 samples. In the first batch, the number of live *Lactobacillus casei defensis* bacteria was determined on the day of purchase. The other batches were stored at refrigerated conditions (4°C) until the end of shelf life declared by the manufacturer and during that time the number of live *Lactobacillus casei defensis* bacteria was determined after 18, 23, 28 and 33 days of storage.

Microbiological analysis. In the tested yogurt milk the number of live *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cells expressed as cfu/cm³ was determined using the method of surface inoculation on sterile Petri dishes with solid MRS medium. Each determination was done in two parallel repetitions [PN-A-86034-15:1998].

To this end, consecutive decimal dilutions were prepared until obtaining the final dilutions of 10⁶ and 10⁷. From the final dilutions surface inoculation to Petri dishes with solidified MRS medium was done. The dishes were incubated in anaerobic conditions in a laboratory CL-135 incubator at 37°C for 72 h. On completion of incubation the number of colonies obtained from the tested product dilutions was counted [PN-EN ISO 8261:2002].

The results obtained were used as data for statistical calculations.

Statistical analysis. The majority of hypotheses verification methods are based on χ^2 , Snedecor's-F and Student-t distributions [Sobczyk, 2004]. Significance Student-t and Snedecor's-F tests based on standard formulas were used as the method for verification of microbiological determinations results [Volk, 1973]. Statistical calculations were done using the obtained results of microbiological determinations grouped in samples with n=16.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Description of the application

The application allowing automated verification of the null hypothesis was designed using Microsoft Excel software. In that program the working file was established in which spreadsheet "Dane_0" offers a table for input of the determined number of *Lactobacillus casei defensis* colonies obtained from final product dilutions of 10⁶ and 10⁷ and for individual storage periods. Next the spreadsheet "Dane" was developed allowing automated conversion of the input data to *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu per 1 cm³ of product and presentation of that number in the form of common logarithm.

The following application development stages involved design of spreadsheets allowing calculation of individual statistical parameters and verification of the formulated null hypotheses in the applied significance tests on the basis of Excel statistical functions and designed mathematical and logical formulas.

All cells containing calculation and logical formulas were protected against changes and as a result the tool is protected against corruption in use.

In the spreadsheets testing the formulated hypotheses

the user can access only the cells describing the data, standard value m and the significance level α .

After input of determination results into "Dane_0" spreadsheet, the tool automatically calculates the required statistics allowing fast and objective statistical analysis of results.

Analysis of results

The average number of *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu per 1 cm³ of yogurt milk tested and the value of the logarithm of that number are presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Average values of the number of *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu per 1 cm³ and logarithm of that number calculated from 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions during product's storage.

Storage time at 4°C (days)	Number of <i>Lactobacillus casei defensis</i> (cfu/1 cm ³ calculated from dilution)		Logarithm of <i>Lactobacillus casei defensis</i> (cfu/1 cm ³ calculated from dilution)	
	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	10 ⁶	10 ⁷
13	8.9E+08	10.4E+08	8.9398	9.0035
18	6.8E+08	6.3E+08	8.8296	8.7741
23	4.3E+08	4.9E+08	8.6276	8.6408
25	2.8E+08	3.1E+08	8.4197	8.4480
33	2.2E+08	2.1E+08	8.3395	8.2964

Analysing the data presented it was determined that the average number of *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu per 1 cm³ calculated from final 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions decreased during storage from: 8.9E+08 to 2.2E+08 and from 10.4E+08 to 2.1E+08 respectively, which is reflected in the decrease of logarithmic value of that number.

Aiming at objective determination of differences found between average values of cfu/cm³ calculated from final 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions, the Student-t test concerning significance of the difference between two averages was applied. Equity in variance of test populations is the necessary condition for applying that test [Brandt, 1999].

Comparison of variances in cfu/cm³ number calculated from final dilutions was done applying Snedecor's-F test with the null hypothesis that variances of both populations are equal ($H_0: s^2(x_1) = s^2(x_2)$).

Results for verification of that hypothesis are presented in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Results of variances equality test for cfu/cm³ number and variance of its logarithm for *Lactobacillus casei defensis* calculated from 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions during storage of the yogurt milk samples tested.

Storage time at 4°C (days)	$\alpha=0.05$	
	Hypotheses tested	
	cfu/cm ³ $H_0: s^2(x_1)=s^2(x_2)$	Logarithm of cfu/cm ³ $H_0: s^2(x_3)=s^2(x_4)$
13	Accept	Accept
18	Accept	Accept
23	Accept	Accept
25	Accept	Accept
33	Accept	Accept

Sample coding: $s^2(x_1)$ – cfu/cm³ number variance – 10⁶ dilution; $s^2(x_2)$ – cfu/cm³ number variance – 10⁷ dilution; $s^2(x_3)$ – cfu/cm³ number logarithm variance – 10⁶ dilution; $s^2(x_4)$ – cfu/cm³ number logarithm variance – 10⁷ dilution

Analysing the variance equality test results presented in Table 2 it was established that the H_0 hypothesis was accepted for all samples tested meaning that tested variances were equal and the condition for conducting the significance test of the difference of two averages was satisfied.

The significance test of the difference of two averages was carried out formulating the null hypothesis that the tested averages were equal $H_0: x_1=x_2$ (averages from compared samples are equal) against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: x_1 <> x_2$ (averages from compared samples are different).

The verification results for those hypotheses are presented in Table 3.

Analysing the results of the significance test of the difference of two averages it was determined that the H_0 was accepted for all tested samples meaning that the values of average cfu/cm³ number calculated for final 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions as well as logarithms of that number showed no statistically significant differences.

TABLE 3. Results of the test of the difference between averages of the cfu/cm³ number and values of its logarithm for *Lactobacillus casei defensis* calculated from 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions during storage of the yogurt milk samples tested.

Storage time at 4°C (days)	$\alpha=0.05$	
	Hypotheses tested	
	$H_0: x_{sr1}=x_{sr2}$ cfu/cm ³	$H_0: x_{sr3}=x_{sr4}$ Logarithm of cfu/cm ³
13	Accept	Accept
18	Accept	Accept
23	Accept	Accept
25	Accept	Accept
33	Accept	Accept

Sample coding: x_{sr1} – cfu/cm³ number calculated for 10⁶ dilution; x_{sr2} – cfu/cm³ number calculated for 10⁷ dilution; x_{sr3} – logarithm of cfu/cm³ number – 10⁶ dilution; x_{sr4} – logarithm of cfu/cm³ number – 10⁷ dilution

On the basis of the above-presented null hypothesis verification concerning equality of averages, the value of the

TABLE 4. Results of the test of the difference between the declared m value and the average *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu/cm³ number per 1 cm³ of the tested product during its storage.

$H_0: x_{sr} \leq m$ The average is equal or less than the m value
 $H_1: x_{sr} > m$ The average is higher than m value

Sample number	$\alpha=0.05$				
	1	2	3	4	5
Value m=	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	1.0E+08
Storage time	13 days	18 days	23 days	28 days	33 days
$x_{sr} =$	10.4E+08	6.3E+08	4.9E+08	3.1E+08	2.1E+08
$I_{x(1-\alpha)} = \pm$	1.3E+08	1.3E+08	1.4E+08	7.0E+07	3.1E+07
$s(x) =$	2.5E+08	2.4E+08	2.6E+08	1.3E+08	5.7E+07
$s^2(x) =$	6.25E+16	5.56E+16	7.00E+16	1.72E+16	3.29E+15
N =	16	16	16	16	16
Compared	1 with m	2 with m	3 with m	4 with m	5 with m
$t_{obl.} =$	15.0000	9.0100	5.9547	6.4875	7.4077
$P(t) =$	9.706E-11	9.669E-08	1.320E-05	5.126E-06	1.096E-06
$t_{\alpha f} =$	1.7531	1.7531	1.7531	1.7531	1.7531
$H_0:$	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject

TABLE 5. Results of the test of the difference between the average and the declared m value – logarithm of *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu/cm³ number per 1 cm³ of the tested product during its storage.

$H_0: x_{sr} \leq m$ The average is equal or less than the m value
 $H_1: x_{sr} > m$ The average is higher than the m value

Sample number	$\alpha=0.05$				
	1	2	3	4	5
Value m =	8	8	8	8	8
Storage time	13 days	18 days	23 days	28 days	33 days
$x_{sr.} =$	9.0035	8.7741	8.6408	8.4480	8.2964
$I_{x(1-\alpha)} = \pm$	0.05821	0.08134	0.11626	0.11978	0.07201
$s(x) =$	0.10924	0.15264	0.21817	0.22478	0.13514
$s^2(x) =$	0.01193	0.02330	0.04760	0.05053	0.01826
n =	16	16	16	16	16
Compared	1 with m	2 with m	3 with m	4 with m	5 with m
$t_{obl.} =$	36.74567	20.28474	11.74809	7.97301	8.77399
$P(t) =$	2.062E-16	1.285E-12	2.887E-09	4.488E-07	1.356E-07
$t_{\alpha f} =$	1.75305	1.75305	1.75305	1.75305	1.75305
$H_0:$	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject	Reject

average *Lactobacillus casei defensis* cfu number per 1 cm³ of product and logarithm of that number calculated for 10⁷ dilution was assumed for further statistical analyses.

The declared number of live bacteria per 100 cm³ of product was not less than 10×10⁹ cells (1×10⁸/cm³). In the application 1×10⁸ cfu/cm³ was assumed as the m value. Aiming at verification of statistical differences between the average cfu/cm³ value and the m value the hypothesis H₀: x₁ ≤ x₂ (the average is less or equal to the m value) was assumed while the alternative hypothesis was H₁: x₁ > x₂ (the average is more than the m value).

Results of null hypothesis verification concerning the difference between the average cfu/cm³ number and the declared m value are presented in Table 4 while the results of the test of difference between the average value – logarithm of cfu/cm³ number and the m value expressed as the logarithm in table.

Analysing the results presented in Table 4 it was established that the null hypothesis was rejected for all samples. That means that the average cfu/cm³ number in all tested yogurt milk samples during the storage time was higher than the declared m value and it indicates appropriate health quality of the product.

The obtained H₀ hypothesis verification results are identical with those presented in Table 4.

Analysing average values presented in Tables 4 and 5, a decrease in the cfu/cm³ number and the logarithm of that number during the storage period from 10.4E+08 to 2.1E+08 and from 9.0035 to 8.2964, respectively, was identified.

Despite the decrease in the cfu/cm³ number during storage, the average number of live cells was higher than the manufacturer declared value. That means that the tested product, even on the last day of its shelf life, shows adequate quality.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The average number of live probiotic bacteria in yogurt milk determined during tests at 1×10⁹ cfu/cm³ decreased by one order of magnitude during 33 days of storage at 4°C reaching 2×10⁸ cfu/cm³ and on the basis of the difference significance test Student-t was significantly

higher than declared, which confirms probiotic properties of the product tested.

2. The prepared application also allowed verification of significance of the difference in average numbers of probiotic bacteria in the product calculated for consecutive dilutions. It was established that there were no statistically significant differences between values calculated for 10⁶ and 10⁷ dilutions.

REFERENCES

1. Brandt S., Analiza danych. Metody statystyczne i obliczeniowe. 1999, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa (in Polish).
2. Koronacki J., Metody statystycznego sterowania jakością. Statystyka w przemyśle. 1999, StatSoft, Kraków (in Polish)
3. Kostogryś R.B., Pisulewski P.M., Szymczyk B., Health-promoting properties of conjugated dienes of linolic acid (CLA) and possibilities of their application in the production of functional food of animal origin. Żyw. Człow. Met., 2002, 1/2, 87–103 (in Polish).
4. Lutomski J., In search for health between a drug store and a shop. Zdrowa Żywność Zdrowy Styl Życia, 2003, 2, 60, 5 (in Polish).
5. Ochocki Z., Stańczyk A., Functional food and nutraceuticals. Bromat. Chem. Toksykol., 2003, 3, 186–187 (in Polish).
6. Polish Standard, PN-A-86034-15:1998, Milk and dairy products. Microbiological analyses. Yoghurts, determination of the number of typical microorganisms (in Polish).
7. Polish Standard, PN-EN ISO 8261:2002, Milk and dairy products. General guidelines for the preparation of samples, initial suspension and ten-fold dilutions for microbiological assays (in Polish).
8. Sobczyk M., Statystyka. 2004, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa (in Polish).
9. Szepieniec-Puchalska D., New trends in food intake in developed countries and in Poland. Handel Wewn., 2001, 6, 40–41 (in Polish).
10. Volk W., Statystyka stosowana dla inżynierów. 1973, WNT, Warszawa (in Polish).

**ZASTOSOWANIE PARAMETRYCZNYCH TESTÓW STATYSTYCZNYCH DO SZACOWANIA
DEKLAROWANEJ AKTYWNOŚCI PROZDROWOTNEJ WYBRANYCH PRODUKTÓW MLECZARSKICH**

Adam Roskosz, Marian Kujawski, Monika Kopeć, Alicja Taraszkiewicz

Katedra Mleczarstwa i Zarządzania Jakością, Uniwersytet Warmińsko-Mazurski w Olsztynie, Olsztyn

W niniejszej pracy oceniono jakość oraz deklarowane cechy prozdrowotne mleka jogurtowego. Korzystając z metod analizy statystycznej, zbudowano aplikację, umożliwiającą weryfikację postawionych hipotez statystycznych. Stwierdzono, iż nie występują istotne statystycznie różnice przy ocenie liczby drobnoustrojów w zależności od stosowanych rozcieńczeń w metodzie płytkowej. Wykazano, że liczba żywych bakterii w produkcie w okresie przechowywania ulega obniżeniu, a zmiany te są statystycznie istotne. Pomimo obniżenia liczby żywych bakterii podczas przechowywania, stwierdzono, że średnia liczba żywych bakterii probiotycznych zawartych w produkcie we wszystkich próbach w okresie przechowywania jest istotnie wyższa niż wartość deklarowana m (deklarowana przez producenta $10 \times 10^9/100 \text{ cm}^3$) i wskazuje na odpowiednią jakość prozdrowotną badanego produktu. Wykorzystane testy statystyczne t-Studenta i F-Snedecora pozwalają ocenić zmiany liczebności komórek bakterii fermentacji mlekowej w badanym produkcie przy mniejszej ilości analizowanych próbek (rozcieńczenie szóste i siódme zamiast ósmego, dziewiątego czy dziesiątego). Zbudowana na ich bazie aplikacja może być pomocna przy ocenie jakości produktów tak na etapie produkcji jak i w okresie przechowywania.